

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/663,837 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	Pin-Hung Lin	FP9701	2692		
	7590	05/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
Pin-Hung Lin				THANH, QUANG D			
PO Box 82-1 Taipei,	144			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TAÌWAN				3764			
					DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\ <i>\</i> D				
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/663,8	37	LIN, PIN-HUNG					
Office	Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Quang D.	Thanh	3764					
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence addres	s				
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time m after SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD IN ATE OF THIS COMMUNATE OF THIS COMMUNATE OF THIS COMMUNATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE OFFICE HER THE PROPERTY OF THE OFFICE HER THE OFFICE HE OFFICE HER THE OFFICE HE	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	rent, however, may a reply buttory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this commu ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status	•								
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on 03 May 2005							
2a) ☐ This action		2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final						
3) Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Clair	ns								
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1. 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	above claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the above claim(s) 7-11 is/are is/are allowed. 6 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restrict.	e withdrawn from con							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specific	cation is objected to by tl	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are	e: a) accepted or b) ☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.					
Applicant m	ay not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•	- · · ·	-	-	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1. fice Action or form PTO-1					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledge a) All b) Cert 2. Cert 3. Copi	gment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: Ified copies of the priority Ified copies of the priority	y documents have been y documents have been to find priority documents have been to find the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appli ents have been rec le 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Staç	је				
Attachment(s)									
 Notice of Reference Notice of Draftspers 	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma						
	ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o			nal Patent Application (PTO-152	")				

Application/Control Number: 10/663,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-6 in the reply filed on 05/03/2005 is acknowledged.

2. Accordingly, claims 7-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on

05/03/2005.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the connection section" lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-2, 4, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamasaki et al. (5,183,034).

Application/Control Number: 10/663,837

Art Unit: 3764

Page 3

6. Re claim 1-2, 4, 6, Yamasaki discloses (claim 1) a centrifugal, rotating power element comprising a motor 38 (fig. 3) having a driving shaft 331, a swinging member having a root section (shaft 351 and its surrounding part shown in fig. 3) and an end section (top part of 35, and the weight of the root section and that of the end section are of certain ratio (fig. 3, col. 3, lines 7-22), and the root section(bottom part of 35) and the end section (top part of 35), which is made of elastic material such as rubber, thus are connected flexibly such that when the driving shaft rotates, the end portion of the swinging element is provided with an appropriate torsional swinging force as a result of the centrifugal force (circular path as shown in fig. 9); (claim 2) wherein the connection section of the end section and the root section of the swinging element is provided with a notch (indentation between the top part 35 and the root part, best seen in figs. 5 and 6); (claims 4 and 6) wherein the driving shaft 421 (part of shaft 331) between the root section 422 of the swinging element and the motor is mounted with an anti-shock element 423 (best seen in fig. 8); wherein the anti-shock element is an elastic member (spring 423, col. 3, lines 38-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamasaki et al. (5,183,034). Yamasaki discloses the device having all the claimed features

Art Unit: 3764

including a weight body 362 mounted on the end section 35 of the swinging element (fig. 5), except it is silent regarding a screw hole for mounting the weight body. However, it is well known in the art that fastening means such as screw, bolt or welding are conventionally used to secure elements together. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a screw hole for receiving a screw in order to attach the weight body to the end section, since the selection of any of these known equivalents fastening means would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamasaki et al. (5,183,034) in view of Yamasaki (4,777,940). Yamasaki et al. discloses the device having all the claimed features except for a soft pad mounted on the drive shaft between the root section of the swinging element and the motor. However, Yamasaki '940 teaches a device comprising a motor M (fig. 2) having a driving shaft 16, a swinging member having a root section 18 and an end section 19, and a soft pad 17 mounted on the drive shaft 16 between the root section of the swinging element and the motor (vibration isolation rubber 17, col. 2, lines 32-36, fig. 2) for preventing wobble action (anti-shock). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the Yamasaki et al. '034's device, to include a soft rubber pad, as suggested by Yamasaki '940, for the purpose of providing an anti-shock element that would prevent wobble action between the motor shaft and its bearings (col. 2, lines 32-36).

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Miyahara '052 discloses a massage treatment device. Tannenbaum et al. '381 discloses a skin and tissue stimulation device. Ishii '427 discloses a dozing preventive device and its method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D. Thanh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4982. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday & alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for both regular and After-Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quang D. Thanh Patent Examiner Art Unit 3764 (571) 272-4982 May 16, 2005

Oraz D. llah

Page 5